GIAHS/NIAHS and Rural Development Policy in Korea

Yoon , Won Keun & Choi, Sik In (Hyupsung University)

Contents



Backgrounds

- * The trend of **Rural development Policy** was mainly oriented to economic growth over the past 50 years.
 - There are positive effects and negative effects.
 - The negative effect became constraint factors for leading new style of development.

* It is necessary to shift paradigm of rural development policy in order to reduce negative effects and start new rural development strategies.

• It is crucial to draw new rural policy accepting value of agricultural heritage systems which was introduced in Korea.

Conservation -Oriented Rural Development



Rural Policy should be oriented to community participation and Conservation

Review of Rural Development Policy

- **1960's of Rural Development**
- Increasing Food Production
- 1970's~ Middle of 1980's
- 'Saemaeul' Movement (New Community Movement)

Late 1980's ~ Early 2000's

• Improving rural living conditions

After that(from middle of 2000's)

- Improving quality of community's life
- Finding & Utilizing of rural resources

Example : 'SAEMAUL' Movement

***The New Community Movement**

- Government strongly led the movement
- All rural community engaged in the movement
- Integrated Rural Development
 - > Food Productivity
 - > Village Infrastructure
 - > Work Ethics



(source: The Memory of World UNESC in Korea, KTV films,)

Achievement 1. Income Increase

* Increase of National Income



Achievement 2. Improving Living Environment

* 1960's Housing Facilities



Rate of Household Utilizing Village Well and Common Tap: 81.2%



Rate of House equipped with flush toilets: 0.1%

Achievement 2. Improving Living Environment

* 2010's Rural Housing Facilities



Rate of house equipped with modern style of kitchen : 96.9% Use Rate of Water Supply Systems : 84.4%

Use Rate of flush Toilet: : 85.6% Rate of House equipped with bathing facilities : 96.2%

(*source:KREI*, 2015)

Achievement 2. Improving Living Environment

Recent Living Environment





Strengthen the linkage between Center and rural areas: improving the accessib ility of cultural, welfare, and medical fa cilities.

Most villagers can be accessible to various kind of facilities (Approx. 60min)

Achievement 3. Rural Resources Utilization

Agricultural sector put in a difficult situation due to FTA

*Rural resources utilization was based on rural development

- Rural Tourism (Green Tourism) : Experiencing Rural Area & Life
- Use of Rural Amenities Resource
- 6th Agricultural Industrialization

But, it is still focused on raising rural income and establishing the infrastructure of settlement environment.

***Reckless Development**

Reckless Development



Plant(Factory)

Accommodation



Livestock Facilities





Source : Green Korea's report (2014.04.16.), Green Korea's report (2006.07.24.), UDI (2010.10.29.), Joong won News (2014.04.15.)

Development Approach Regardless of Agricultural Heritages

River Improvement



Increase of Fallow Ground





Facilities related Rural Tourism



*** Destruction of Traditional Houses**

- Ruined 99% of traditional houses for about 30~40 years
- French geologist, Valerie Gelezeau called Korea as "Giant city of apartment' in her book, "Séoul, ville géante, cités radieuses."





*Change of Spatial Structure in a Rural Area

- Destruction of traditional elements like brick(stone) wall, rural village forest.
- Demolition of traditional shamanistic space like village shrine (Seonghwangdang)







Direction of New Rural Policy

Strengthen Linkage Between Agricultural Heritages and Rural Policy

*Pursuit Conservation Oriented Approach of Rural Development Policy



Set up New Goals, Approach, and Strategies

Directions of New Rural Policy

Goals

Agricultural Heritages' Value-Oriented Development

New Approaches

- Improvement of Competitiveness of Rural Area(in terms of landscape & ecology)
- Enhancement of Empowerment of Rural Residents(in terms of residents' capabilities, transmission of traditional culture and information system)

Practical Strategies

- Expansion of Rural Development Area
- Local Governance(Local People, Governor, Enterprise etc.)
- Expansion of Agricultural Heritage Systems Designated by Local Government
- Development of Preserve & Use Model(e.g. Eco-museum)
- Establishing Land Use Planning System of Rural Area

Example of Policy ① Restoration of Storks, *YESAN* : Recognizing of Importance of Biodiversity





Released into Nature(2015)



Succeed in hatching in Nature(2016.05.23)

Example of Policy 2 : Restoration of Habitat for a white-Naped Crane, *KIMPO (2016)*





***Reverse the Trend of Development**

• *'Suncheon'* became a good growth example through conservation instead of development.

* Direct and Indirect Effects on the Region

- An indirect effect on regional economy: Annually 120 billion won/year
- Population Growth: 10,000 people(for the last 6 years)
- Increase of the number of visitors
 - > 100 thousand people(2003)
 - > 5.2million people(2015)

Resource: The Korea Economic Daily(2015.05.03)



Korea's Ecological Capital, SUNCHEON

The Harmony of Sea, Tideflat(mudflat), Reeds , and Garden











Expected Effects

- Establishing identity of Korea's rural area based on the concept of Agricultural Heritage
- * Growth through conservation
- Eliminating the dysfunction resulted from development oriented policy
- * Join 'Sustainable Development' movement: Prevention of global warming

Conservation of Agricultural Heritage



Conclusion

* '*Conservation*' can lead new growth of the rural area.

*I think it is time to attempt new growth based on 'Conservation', rather than 'Destruction'.

